

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/671,849	JIAO ET AL.	

Examiner
Antonio A. Caschera

Art Unit
2628

All Participants:

(1) Antonio A. Caschera.

Status of Application: Responding to After-Final Amendment

(3) _____.

(2) Anthony B. Diepenbrock.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2006

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

PATENT EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative indicating that claims were in condition for allowance except for 35 USC 101 issues, according to the current practice of the Office, in the independent claims. Specifically, no tangible results are produced from the independent claims. Examiner and Applicant's Representative agreed upon amending the independent claims to recite that the expanded line segment is displayed on the output device which would overcome the 35 USC 101 issues.